A double-blind review process was followed. A code was assigned to each reviewer, and a different code to each paper. Only the first Editor had knowledge of the meaning of the codes. Authors had an opportunity to respond or rebut reviewer comments.

Review criteria
The following categories of information was requested from reviewers in their assessment of papers.
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Originality: 1-20
Significance of Topic for ICT4D / DI: 1-10
Technical Quality: 1-10
Relevance for this Conference theme: 1-10
Overall Assessment: 1-10
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Needs rewriting? High Medium Low
Reviewer Expertise: High Medium Low

Comments for Program Chair (this won't be sent to authors.)

Overall Comments (for Author)

Positive Points (for Author)

Negative Points (for Author)

Reviewers

Bailey Arlene University of the West Indies, Mona, Jamaica
Cantoni Lorenzo Università della Svizzera italiana, Switzerland
Gelderblom Helene University of South Africa, South Africa
Johanson Graeme Monash University, Australia
Kirilidog Melih Marmara University, Turkey
Pade-Khene Caroline Rhodes University, South Africa
Rajapakse Jayantha Monash University, Malaysia
Rega Isabella Università della Svizzera italiana, Switzerland
Sabiescu Amalia Università della Svizzera italiana, Switzerland
Van Belle Jean-Paul University of Cape Town, South Africa
Van Biljon Judy University of South Africa, South Africa
Van Greunen Darelle Nelson Mandela Metropolitan University, South Africa
Vannini Sara Università della Svizzera italiana, Switzerland
Villanueva Mansilla Eduardo Pontificia Universidad Catolica del Peru